

TO the ICC- A continues to OTP-CR-430/13

To the OECD-A new Information

An article on crimes against humanity executed by the State of Israel and the heads of the Israeli courts system by means of: the Jerusalem District Court judge Gila Knafi Steinitz, the Supreme Court judge Eliakim Rubinstein, the President of the Supreme Court Gronis, his deputy Miriam Naor, the Minister of Justice Zippi Livni, the Attorney- General Yehuda Weinstein, and the Judges' Ombudsman Eliezer Rivlin. Prima Facie:

This article will be sent to the OECD, to all the foreign embassies in Israel and to the Court for Crimes against Humanity, The Hague. The author: Shuker Sahar the known, Israeli October 2014. Email: msp_intl@yahoo.com. Disclosure: the writer is the plaintiff/ the appellant.

Firstly I will define what a crime against humanity is. This is a crime of systematic abuse of physical or mental violence executed systematically against a defined population, with a common denominator, out of mere hatred or revenge which do not contain any self- defense except out of evil or mental or pathological disorder, without justification and which contradicts "natural justice". An example of crimes against humanity: the extermination of other Kurds by Daash (ISIS), the extermination of gypsies mentally handicapped people and Jews by the Nazis and their assistants.

On the day 16.6.2014 it was decreed in the case 23008-05-12 "erasure of the case", in effect a punishment for the plaintiff, by Jerusalem District Court judge Gila Knafi Steinitz. The arguments of the judge were summarized in three main claims:

- A. The plaintiff complained about criminal crimes which he claimed had been executed by the district court system (this means of Judge Steinitz, herself) and the Supreme Court, Esther Hayout. The plaintiff conveyed affidavits of first testimony to the Attorney- General on the court as specified, where he accuses the court of execution of criminal crimes.
- B. The plaintiff claims to sue the State of Israel in court for crimes against humanity.

C. The plaintiff said like: "that he is making a school for the learned professors".

These are the three characteristics which embody crimes against humanity and organized crime in general, terror and intimidation. The third part is revenge, the first part to complain in the police about the crime, it is even accepted in a totalitarian regime, and if they impose a punishment in a democratic state on someone who complains about a crime, so this is a clear crime against humanity. And the second part, is itself a crime against humanity, the very punishment of a person interested in complaining about a crime against humanity.

On this verdict, an appeal was presented in the Israeli court in case A"A 4522/14. The hearing was fixed for 6.7.15 this means 10 months from today. And from where can we know the significance of a crime against humanity? A long as the verdict concerned is valid at this moment, so we see a legitimization of the Supreme Court of Israel, to give legitimacy from the Jerusalem District Court, to intimidate the Israeli population, which means: a prohibition and punishment to complain in the police about criminal crimes of a citizen all the more so a judge, a prohibition and punishment to complain about offenses of crime against humanity in general, and ban and punishment to criticize the legal system in Israel.

On what basis in particular does the Israeli Supreme Court give backing to these moves? As a petition was presented in the Supreme Court by the appellant/ plaintiff, a request for delay of a fine which was decreed from the judgment 23008-05-12. The Judge Eliakim Rubinstein, refused to delay the judgment. Hence, he does not see the judgment as expressly illegal, but gives it legitimacy to exist, until the day of the appeal 6.7.15. This means, it allows validity of crimes against humanity. And on what basis are both Mrs. Zippi Livni and also the Attorney- General guilty of these crimes? That they accepted all the aforesaid by means of the Attorney- General, and the verdict was still not canceled, this means, they give validity to crimes against humanity. The systematic factor is that apparently all the heads of crime mentioned above, know about the aforesaid, or are supposed to know, and they did not protest.

In conclusion, the verdict in the case 23008-05-12 from the day 16.6.14 is expressly illegal and has no legal validity, as it is unimaginable that a citizen will not complain about a thief in the police. This means, the State of Israel is also a state of wise fools. And who stands at their head?